DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

APR 1 2 1996

# Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

FEDERAL COLUMNATION CHARACTERS OF MAINTISSION

In the Matter of	)
	) CC Docket No. 96-45
Federal-State Joint Board on	)
Universal Service	)
	)

To: The Commission

#### Comments of National Retail Federation

The National Retail Federation ("NRF"), pursuant to Section 1.415 of the Commission's Rules, hereby comments on the Commission's Notice of Proposed Rulemaking ("NPRM") in the captioned proceeding. NRF responds to the Commission's request for comments on the definition of services to support by universal service support mechanisms, the requirement for explicit support mechanisms, and the designation of contributors.

No. of Copies rec'd 024

DC:25595\_1.WP5

<sup>1. 47</sup> C.F.R. § 1.415.

<sup>3.</sup> NPRM at ¶¶ 15-23.

<sup>4.</sup> **NPRM** at ¶¶ 30.

<sup>5.</sup> NPRM at ¶¶ 118-120.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

The NRF is the nation's largest trade group representing the retail industry. NRF represents the full spectrum of retailing, including the nation's leading department, chain, discount, specialty, and independent stores. NRF also represents several dozen national retail associations and all 50 state retail associations. NRF's membership represents an industry encompassing over 1.4 million retail establishments that employ nearly 20 million Americans.

On behalf of the members of our organization, NRF expresses its firm belief that basic telephone service should be available at affordable rates across the Nation. The Universal Service Fund ("USF") should be tailored to meet the needs of the unserved population without unduly burdening other communications users. Therefore, NRF supports the adoption of a narrow definition of universal service and explicit support mechanisms, and the collection of USF contributions only from those carriers who provide service directly to the public.

## II. THE FUND SHOULD SUPPORT THE NATIONWIDE AVAILABILITY OF BASIC TELEPHONE SERVICE.

The Commission and the Joint-Board have undertaken a daunting task at Congress' direction to overhaul the universal service fund system. At the end of this process, however, current service availability, both in terms of quality and service penetration level, should not be jeopardized by undertaking to offer through universal service support mechanisms too wide an array of elaborate services. With this in mind, NRF

advocates a basic definition of supported telecommunications services. NRF specifically endorses the Commission's tentative conclusion that the "core" services should be voice grade access to the public switched network, touch-tone capability, single party service, and access to emergency and operator services. Once the arduous task of implementing the revised USF has been accomplished, the Commission may then undertake further review of service definitions, as directed by the Act.

## III. THE COMMISSION MUST NOT COLLECT HIDDEN UNIVERSAL SERVICE SUBSIDIES FROM INTERSTATE ACCESS CHARGES.

The Telecommunications Act of 1996 requires that universal support must be "explicit." Congress elaborated in the Conference Report that "all universal support should be clearly identified." Universal service subsidies, however, are currently hidden in interstate access charges.

The current separations process for access charges permits 25 percent of the local loop costs to be allocated to long distance service. Onder this formula, local exchange carriers ("LECs") may allocate their costs disproportionately to interexchange carriers. The interstate access charges purportedly recover local loop costs attributable to use of the

<sup>6.</sup> NPRM at ¶¶ 16, 18-22.

<sup>7. 47</sup> U.S.C. § 254(c).

<sup>8. 47</sup> U.S.C. § 254(e).

<sup>9.</sup> H.R. Conf. Rep. No. 458, 104th Cong., 2d Sess. 131 (1996).

<sup>10.</sup> NPRM at ¶ 112.

network by interexchange carriers, but they also provide unspecified subsidies for intrastate services. Therefore, the existing access charge regime results in hidden subsidies, which is inconsistent with section 254(e). Consumers pay for these universal service subsidies through increased interexchange rates. To satisfy the statutory requirement that universal support be "explicit," intrastate and interstate costs must be appropriately allocated and any retained universal service subsidies must be specified.

### IV. PRIVATE NETWORK OPERATORS SHOULD NOT BE DESIGNATED AS USF CONTRIBUTORS.

USF contributions should be collected only from common carriers, <u>i.e.</u>, those who benefit from serving the public by generating profits. Private networks established to facilitate communications within a company or corporate system do not fit within this category. Therefore, these private networks should not be classified as "telecommunications carriers," nor should the Commission require that they individually "contribute to the preservation and advancement of universal service" pursuant to Section 254(d).<sup>12</sup>

Operators of private networks for internal business purposes do not fall within the definition of "telecommunications carrier." These networks are not "provider[s]" who offer "telecommunications services" "for a fee directly to the public,"

<sup>11. 47</sup> U.S.C. § 254(e).

<sup>12. &</sup>lt;u>See</u> 47 U.S.C. § 254(d).

and their services are not "effectively available directly to the public." Operators of private networks already fund USF indirectly through their general use of and subsequent payment for telecommunications services. Double charging these entities for universal service is not in the public interest and is inconsistent with the Act.

#### V. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, the National Retail Federation respectfully requests that the Commission adopt a narrow definition of the services supported by universal service, detail explicitly all universal service subsidies, and exclude from the pool of contributors those private network operators who utilize their networks for internal business communications.

Respectfully submitted,

Don Gilbert

Senior Vice President of Information Technology

n Gilbert/a

Cathy Hotka
Vice President of
Information Technology

National Retail Federation 325 7th Street, N.W. Suite 1000 Washington, D.C. 20004

Date: April 12, 1996

<sup>13. 47</sup> U.S.C. § 153(49), (51).

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, John W. Pettit certify that the foregoing Comments of National Retail Federation was served by first-class mail to the following:

The Honorable Reed E. Hundt Chairman Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W. -- Room 814 Washington, D.C. 20554

The Honorable Susan Ness Commissioner Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W. -- Room 832 Washington, D.C. 20554

The Honorable Julia Johnson Commissioner Florida Public Service Commission Capital Circle Office Center 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

The Honorable Kenneth McClure Vice Chairman Missouri Public Service Commission 301 W. High Street, Suite 530 Jefferson City, MO 65102

The Honorable Sharon L. Nelson Chairman Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission P.O. Box 4720 Olympia, WA 98504-7250

The Honorable Laska Schoenfelder Commissioner South Dakota Public Utilities Commission 500 E. Capital Avenue Pierre, SD 57501

Martha S. Hogerty Public Counsel for the State of Missouri P.O. Box 7800 Harry S. Truman Building, Room 250 Jefferson City, MO 65102 Deborah Dupont
Federal Staff Chair
Federal Communications Commission
2000 L Street, N.W., Suite 257
Washington, D.C. 20036

Paul E. Pederson State Staff Chair Missouri Public Service Commission P.O. Box 360 Truman State Office Building Jefferson City, MO 65102

Eileen Benner Idaho Public Utilities Commission P.O. Box 83720 Boise, ID 83720-0074

Charles Bolle South Dakota Public Utilities Commission State Capital, 500 E. Capital Avenue Pierre, SD 57501-5070

William Howden
Federal Communications Commission
2000 L Street, N.W., Suite 812
Washington, D.C. 20036

Lorraine Kenyon Alaska Public Utilities Commission 1016 West Sixth Avenue, Suite 400 Anchorage, AK 99501

Debra M. Kriete Pennsylvania Public Utilities Commission P.O. Box 3265 Harrisburg, PA 17105-3265

Clara Kuehn
Federal Commmunications Commission
2000 L Street, N.W., Suite 257
Washington, D.C. 20036

Mark Long
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Gerald Gunter Building
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Samuel Loudenslager Arkansas Public Service Commission P.O. Box 400 Little Rock, AR 72203-0400

Sandra Makeeff
Iowa Utilities Board
Lucas State Office Building
Des Moines, IA 50319

Philip F. McClelland Pennsylvania Office of Consumer Advocate 1425 Strawberry Square Harrisburg, PA 17120

Michael A. McRae D.C. Office of the People's Counsel 1133 15th Street, N.W. -- Suite 500 Washington, D.C. 20005

Rafi Mohammed Federal Communications Commission 2000 L Street, N.W., Suite 812 Washington, D.C. 20036

Terry Monroe New York Public Service Commission Three Empire Plaza Albany, NY 12223

Andrew Mulitz Federal Communications Commission 2000 L Street, N.W., Suite 257 Washington, D.C. 20036

Mark Nadel Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W. -- Room 542 Washington, D.C. 20554

Gary Oddi Federal Communications Commission 2000 L Street, N.W., Suite 257 Washington, D.C. 20036

Teresa Pitts
Washington Utilities and Transportation
Commission
P.O. Box 47250
Olympia, WA 98504-7250

Jeanine Poltronieri Federal Communications Commission 2000 L Street, N.W., Suite 257 Washington, D.C. 20036

James Bradford Ramsay
National Association of Regulatory
Utility Commissioners
1201 Constitution Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20423

Jonathan Reel Federal Communications Commission 2000 L Street, N.W., Suite 257 Washington, D.C. 20036

Brian Roberts California Public Utilities Commission 505 Van Ness Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102-3298

Gary Seigel Federal Communications Commission 2000 L Street, N.W., Suite 812 Washington, D.C. 20036

Pamela Szymczak Federal Communications Commission 2000 L Street, N.W., Suite 257 Washington, D.C. 20036

Whiting Thayer
Federal Communications Commission
2000 L Street, N.W., Suite 812
Washington, D.C. 20036

Deborah S. Waldbaum Colorado Office of Consumer Counsel 1580 Logan Street, Suite 610 Denver, CO 80203

Alex Belinfante Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20554 Larry Povich Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20554